Case 3:08-cv-00679-DMS-WMC I	Document 1 Filed 04/14/2008 Page 1 of 29
<u> </u>	
Name v-58848	2254 1983
PRISON NUMBER	FILING FEE PARD FILED
PVSP. P.O. BOX 8504	APR 1 4 2008
CURRENT ADDRESS OR PLACE OF CONFINEMENT	TO NO CONTILED
COALINGA, CA. 93210	COPIES SENT TO BY CALIFORD DEP
CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE	Court ProSe
UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
Southern Dis	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CURTIS HENRY RUSS	200 OV 0 < 70 DV0 · · · ·
(FULL NAME OF PETITIONER)	Civil No. 208 CV 0679 DMS WMC
PETITIONER	(TO BE FILLED IN BY CLERK OF U.S. DISTRICT COURT)
JAMES YATES, Warden	
(NAME OF WARDEN, SUPERINTENDENT, JAILOR, OR AUTHORIZED PERSON HAVING CUSTODY OF PETITIONER [E.G., DIRECTOR OF THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS])	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
Providence	UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254
RESPONDENT and	BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY
The Attorney General of the State of California, Additional Respondent.	
Name and location of the court that entere Superior Court In And For Rivers	ed the judgment of conviction under attack:
A CONTROL OF THE CONT	25/04
3. Trial court case number of the judgment o & SWC000092	of conviction being challenged: SWF007249
4. Length of sentence: 13 years & 8 mor	nths.

CIV 68 (Rev. 11/98)

K:\COMMON\FORMS\CIV-68.

				Page 2 of 29
	ence start date and projected relears & 8 months.	ease date:0	9/01/04. Half or 85	percent
6. Offerman	nse(s) for which you were conv slaughter; DuI Bodily Inj	icted or pleaded ury; Inflict	guilty (all counts): Gro	ss vehicular [Evident
Exh	ibits D]			
7. What	was your plea? (CHECK ONE)			
	Not guilty □			
· 公安地位为1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Guilty Nolo contendere □			
8. If you	ı pleaded not guilty, what kind o	of trial did you h	ave? (Check one)	
(a)	Jury □ Judge only □			
The second secon	ou testify at the trial? SEX No			
74				
		RECT APPEAL		
10. Did yo	ou appeal from the judgment of ☑ No	conviction in the	California Court of A	ppeal?
3 3 3 3 3 3 5 5 7	appealed in the California Cou			
The second secon	Result:	atturappear, ar	iswer the following:	
	Date of result, case number and	citation if know	n·	Allowers (Allow)
			Park in programme programme in the commence of	American de la company
(c) (brounds raised on direct appeal:	The state of the s		
_				
			Spring of the State of the second Control of the State of the Spring of the	
2. If you s Court ((a) R	ought further direct review of the e.g., a Petition for Review), ple esult:	ne decision on ap ase answer the f	opeal by the California ollowing:	Supreme
(b) D	ate of result, case number and c	itation, if known		All the grade the second
(c) Gi	rounds raised:			
g of				. •
				•
68 (Rev. 11/98))		K:\COMMON	/\FORMS\CIV-68.

Case	e-3:08-cv-00679-DMS-WMC Docume	ent 1 Filed (04/14/2008	Page 3 of 29
• 13. If yo follo	you filed a petition for certiorari in the Unit ellowing with respect to that petition:	ed States Supre	me Court, ple	ase answer the
(<u>a</u>)	n) Result:			
(b)	Date of result, case number and citation,	if known:		
			et y	
(c)) Grounds raised:			
	COLLATERAL REVIEW	IN STATE CO	DURT	
previo Corpu	er than a direct appeal from the judgment of rously filed any petitions, applications, or nous) with respect to this judgment in the Ca es \Box No.	notions (e.g., a P	Petition for Wri	you t of Habeas
	our answer to #15 was "Yes," give the follow	CT TE ~~ 72/0	n: & SWC000092	
	California Superior Court Case Number Nature of proceeding: HABFAS CORPUS	- SWFOO7247	& 3WWW32	
		武多是高麗麗		
The state of the s	Grounds raised: Plea Entered Unknow Coercion; Ineffective Assistance Coercion;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	and a community of the stage of	Marie e e por a region de la companya del companya del companya de la companya de
and the second second	Punishment; Prosecutor Misconduct			Calling of the control of the contro
		The second se		
	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on y ☐ Yes শ No DENIED Result:	your petition, ap	plication or mo	otion?
(f) D	Date of result: 03/29/06			A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
previous	than a direct appeal from the judgment of cously filed any petitions, applications, or most with respect to this judgment in the Californian	tions (e.g., a Pe	tition for Writ	
17. If your a	r answer to #17 was "Yes," give the followi	ng information:		
(a) Ca	California Court of Appeal Case Number:	E040		
(b) Na	Nature of proceeding:			
(c) Gr	Grounds raised: It	oid		

· † .	1 P. C.
	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
	☐ Yes Mo DENIED
	(e) Result:
	(f) Date of result:
18	S. Other than a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, applications, or motions (e.g., a Petition for Writ of Habea Corpus) with respect to this judgment in the California Supreme Court? □ Yes 图 No
19	. If your answer to #19 was "Yes," give the following information:
	(a) California Supreme Court Case Number: S146940
	(b) Nature of proceeding: HABEAS CORPUS
	Ibid
	(c) Grounds raised:
771	
	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion?
	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? □ Yes ☑ No
	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? □ Yes ☑ No DENIED (e) Result:
	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? ☐ Yes ☑ No DENTED
	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? ☐ Yes ☑ No (e) Result: ☐ Of #13/07
20.	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \subseteq \text{No} \) (e) Result: (f) Date of result:
20.	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No (e) Result: (f) Date of result: 1f you did not file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petitifor Writ of Habeas Corpus) with the California Supreme Court containing the grounds
20.	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? \(\subseteq \text{Yes} \otimes \text{No} \) (e) Result: (f) Date of result: If you did not file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petition)
20.	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No (e) Result: (f) Date of result: 1f you did not file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petitifor Writ of Habeas Corpus) with the California Supreme Court containing the grounds
20.	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No (e) Result: (f) Date of result: 1f you did not file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petitifor Writ of Habeas Corpus) with the California Supreme Court containing the grounds
20.	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No (e) Result: (f) Date of result: 1f you did not file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petitifor Writ of Habeas Corpus) with the California Supreme Court containing the grounds
20.	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No (e) Result: (f) Date of result: 1f you did not file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petitifor Writ of Habeas Corpus) with the California Supreme Court containing the grounds
20.	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No (e) Result: (f) Date of result: 1f you did not file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petitifor Writ of Habeas Corpus) with the California Supreme Court containing the grounds
20.	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No (e) Result: (f) Date of result: 1f you did not file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petitifor Writ of Habeas Corpus) with the California Supreme Court containing the grounds
20.	(d) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No (e) Result: (f) Date of result: 1f you did not file a petition, application or motion (e.g., a Petition for Review or a Petitifor Writ of Habeas Corpus) with the California Supreme Court containing the grounds

COLLATERAL REVIEW IN FEDERAL COURT 21. Is this your first federal petition for writ of habeas corpus challenging this conviction? (IF "YES" SKIP TO #11) (a) If no, in what federal court was the prior action filed? (i) What was the prior case number? (ii) Was the prior action (CHECK ONE): ☐ Denied on the merits? ☐ Dismissed for procedural reasons? (iii) Date of decision: (b) Were any of the issues in this current petition also raised in the prior federal petition? ☐ Yes ☐ No. (c) If the prior case was denied on the merits, has the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals given you permission to file this second or successive petition? ☑ Yes □ No. CAUTION: Exhaustion of State Court Remedies: In order to proceed in federal court you must ordinarily first exhaust your state court remedies as to each ground on which you request action by the federal court. This means that even if you have exhausted some grounds by raising them before the California Supreme Court, you must first present all other grounds. to the California Supreme Court before raising them in your federal Petition Single Petition: If you fail to set forth all grounds in this Petition challenging a specific judgment, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds challenging the same judgment at a later date. • Factual Specificity: You must state facts, not conclusions, in support of your grounds. For example, if you are claiming incompetence of counsel you must state facts specifically setting forth what your attorney did or failed to do. A rule of thumb to follow is - state who did exactly what to violate your federal constitutional rights at what time or place.

GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

- 22. State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the constitution, law or treaties of the United States. Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary, you may attach pages stating additional grounds and/or facts supporting each ground.
 - (a) GROUND ONE: PLEA ENTERED UNKNOWINGLY & UNINTELLIGENTLY; AMOUNTING TO DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS.

[U.S. CONST. AMEND. 14].

Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law) On 03/25/04, a felony complaint was filed, accusing petitioner of a violation of penal code, sect. 191.5(a); in that he caused the death of Anita Barnes while operating a veh. in a gross negligent manner. In a seperate count, petitioner was accused of driving under the influence and causing injury to Michelle Westgard (Veh. Code, § 23153(a).) The manslaughter offense provided for a four, six, or ten year sentence. The driving under the influence offense provided for a sixteen month, two, or three year term. The great bodily injury enhancement required a three year additional term: (Pen. Code, § 12022.7(a).) All latter charges were consequental acts of the principle act of Gross

Vehicular Manslaughter while Intoxicated. [Evident Exhibits B].

The Deputy District Attorney offered petitioner a plea bargain whereby he would plead guilty and recieve a ten year, eight month sentence.

Petitioner rejected this offer, believing the nature of the offense and the substantial mitigation factors did not warrant that length of a sentence.

Subsequently Deputy District Attorney responded by filing on Amended.

Subsequently, Deputy District Attorney responded by filing an Amended felony complaint, accusing petitioner of implied malice murder of Anita Barnes (Pen. Code, §188).

There were multiple circumstances in this case, which resulted in an involuntary, unknowing, and unintelligent plea. Petitioner was scared by the overcharging of the offense, driven by his rejection of the plea offer.

Did you raise GROUND ONE in the California Supreme Court?

Petitioner was vulnerable to coercion by the prosecution and defense counsel because he feared a life sentence and defense counsel appeared to be hell bent on pressuring petitioner into entering a plea. Petitioner had no previous experience in the criminal system and was not explained the relevant laws in relation to the facts. Petitioner was not apprised of any counterattack to prosecutor intent on overcharging and coercing an erroneous plea to two strike offenses, which guaranteed a life sentence in the future for any felony.

Because of defense counsel's failures petitioner entered into the plea bargaining process uninformed, and vulnerable to undue pressure. Defense counsel failed to apprise petitioner that state & Constitutional law required a jury to determined a high term of ten years, rather than on the court and prosecutor whims. Had defense counsel apprised petitioner that the law in relation to the facts of the case prohibited prosecutor from erroneously Amending the case to what would amount to a second degree murder charge, and using such erroneous Amendment as coercive tactic aiming to induce the plea, petitioner would not have entered instant plea. [Evident Exhibit C. Affidavit of Curtis Henry Russ].

In addition, at no time was petitioner apprised that his plea would be a waiver of future work time half time credits. Petitioner was under the impression that he was entitled to half time credits once enrolled in a educational, vocational or job assignment program inside prison. No one explained to petitioner otherwise prior to his enterence of the plea. However defense counsel had mentioned that California prisoners generally recieve half time once in the prison system and enrolled in an educational, vocational or job placement program. Petitioner would not have entered the plea had he been apprised of the relative facts in relation to half time availability.

PRO	DCESS[CONST. AMEND. 14].
44 PM	porting FAC 13 (state or terry without citing cases of raw):
Oran Care	at the evidence overwhelmingly concluded that the offense was committee
	ch gross negligence, not with any intent to harm others, when petition
4	le a bad judgment and drove his vehicle after ingesting a small amount
Section 1	drugs hours earlier. [Evident Exhibit B].
	he Deputy District Attorney offered petitioner a plea bargain whereby
he	would plead guilty and recieve a ten year, eight month sentence. Peti-
rej	ected this offer, believing the nature of the offense and the substan
mit	igation factors did not warrant that length of a sentence.
S	subsequently, Deputy District Attorney responded by filing an Amended
fel	ony complaint; accusing petitioner of implied malice murder of Anita
Bar	nes:
Ç, P	etitioner believed he would recieve life in prison for second degree
ाणाद्व	er given he maintained his rejection of aforedescribed plea offer and
Dietorni	ce subsequently reluctantly entered a plea against his free will.
10 m	
Ann in	
1431	<u>and from the first transformation of the first of the first from the first of the </u>
	andrijere, segengera karantera salah biren engan bir kempangan pelengan besar segengera beratar bera in se Kempantan menangan beratar kempantah bir menangan kempangan berasak beratar kempangan berasak beratar beratar
45.75	

CIV 68 (Rev. 11/98)

CIV 68 (Rev. 11/98)

vocational or job placement program. Petitioner would not have entered the plea had he been apprised of the relative facts in relation to half time availability. The plea documents are silent on the matter. [Evident Exhibits D].

mslaughter and for driving gravated ten year term was	under the	influence ca	maina ini	
gravated ten year term was			austing injury	. The
	imposed fo	r the mansla	aughter, as o	pposed
the potential mitigated t	erm of four	years, or	the midterm o	f six
ars. A consecutive sentence	e was impos	ed for the	second count.	The th
ar enhancement was imposed		মুদ্ধ কি বিচাপীৰ	12. 在一个大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大	مانه والإلالعوالي
cause of the enhancement,	petitioner	has now been	convicted of	E two
rikes, which may result in	a life sen	tence if he	should be co	onvicte
any felony in the future				
Petitioner only pled guil	ty-and acce	pted the exc	orbitant sente	ence of
years and 8 months becaus	e prosecuto	r coerced h	im with a thre	eat to
arge and convict him of se	cond degree	murder if	ne did not.	
				海瓜等馆
			Approximation of the second	
		Top Assert And Assert Assert		
A service and a service of the servi	all and the second second	Tato Manadang terminan Se Land Manadang terminan Se		e polytragetonia shi Palantari
	SALE AND			
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		The state of the s		i dispersional in Conservation dispersional Leaving Conservation
				THE PROPERTY.
		the more services.		San San
	the state of the s	The Section Constitution of the Section of the Sect		
		<u>atteritet in Service See</u> Service Services		
id you raise <u>GROUND FOUR</u> in t	ie California	Supreme Co	urt?	
id you raise <u>GROUND FOUR</u> in t Yes □ No.	ie California	Supreme Co	urt?	

Case 3:08-cv-00679-DMS-WMC Document 1 Filed 04/14/2008 Page 11 of 29

CIV 68 (Rev. 11/98)

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner pray for reliewf as follows:

- 1) issue a write of habeas corpus, vacating the judgment and resentencing period oner to the low or mid term of the ten year enhancement, or
 - 2) grant a hearing to determine any disputed facts,
- 3) Declaratory Judgment Declaring whether or not petitioner is entitled to half time under CDCR work Incentive programs.
 - and to provide any other relief this court deems appropriate.

24		our answer to #23 is "Yes," give the following information:	
		Name of Court:	
	(b)	Case Number:	ŀ
	(c)		
	(d)	Nature of proceeding:	\cdot
	(e)	Grounds raised:	
	rhight Nata		
			XX.
Ç.			
	(f)	Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
25.	stages	the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following s of the judgment attacked herein: At preliminary hearing:	
	(b);	At arraignment and plea	
		At trial:	ini.
	(d) .	At sentencing:	
	(e) (On appeal:	i Ligar
		n any post-conviction proceeding:	
	(g) C	On appeal from any adverse ruling in a post-conviction proceeding.	
26 V	X oro		
iı	ndictm	ou sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one ent, in the same court and at the same time?	
<u> </u>	u res	□ No. 1. And the second of th	•

Filed 04/14/2008

Page 13 of 29

		ocation of court that imposed sen	tence to be served in the future:
(b)	Give date and length of	of the future sentence:	
(c)	Have you filed, or do imposed the sentence ☐ Yes ☐ No	you contemplate filing, any petiti to be served in the future?	on attacking the judgment which
28: Date	you are mailing (or han	iding to a correctional officer) thi	
Wherefore	, Petitioner prays that t	he Court grant Petitioner relief to	
this procee	ding		
		SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY (IF ANY)
I declare ui	ider penalty of perjury	that the foregoing is true and con	ect. Executed on
april	*9,200 8	Luti Pu	
•	(DATE)	Signature	OF PETITIONER
			na prajekt (na 1983) a fizi na a ješ. Tipen gjeter ya papra se si goti siti na bija

Document 1

Filed 04/14/2008

Page 14 of 29

Case 3:08-cv-00679-DMS-WMC

*

Exhibit A

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS/POINTS AND AUTHORITIES



COURT OF APPEAL -- STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH DISTRICT DIVISION TWO

ORDER

AUG 0 1 2006

In

CURTIS HENRY RUSS

on Habeas Corpus.

E040935

COURT OF APPEAL FOURTH DISTRICT

(Super. Ct. Nos. SWF007249 & SWC000092)

The County of Riverside

THE COURT

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is DENIED.

HOLLENHORST

Acting P.J.

See attached list



S146940

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

En Banc

In re CURTIS HENRY RUSS on Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied.

SUPREME COURT FILED

JUN 1 8 2007

Frederick K. Ohlrich Clerk

DEPUTY

GEORGE

Chief Justice

Exhibit B

Case 3:08-cv-00679-DMS-WMC-Filed 04/14/2008 ADEJON 100,000 00 (WARRANT) COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE MAR 25 2004 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE (Southwest) D.A.# 167529 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, CASE NO. 5 W F 007249 Plaintiff. FELONY COMPLAINT CURTIS HENRY RUSS OTHER DOB: 11/03/1975 AKACURTIS HENRI RUSS Defendant. I THEIST The o idersigned, under penalty of penjury upon information and belief, declares. That the above named defendant C. TIS HENRY RUSS committed a violation of Penal Code section 191.5(a), a felony, in that on or about February 25, 2004, in the County of Riverside, State of California, he did willfully and unlawfully kill a human being to wit, ANITA ROSE B., without malice but with pross nepligence, as a proximate result of the commission by said defendant of an unlawful act and a lawful act which might produce death in an unlawful manner while driving a vehicle in violation of Vehicle Code sections 23152 and 23153. It is further alleged that in the same instance of driving as set forth above, the defendant proximately caused bookly imported MIGHELLE W., an additional victim, within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23558. That the above named defendant CURTIS HENRY RUSS committed a violation of Vehicle Code

section 23153, subdivision (a), a felony, in that on or about February 25, 2004, in the County of Riverside, State of Californic, he did wilfully and unlawfully, while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage and a drug and under their combined influence, drive a vehicle and in so driving did an act forbidden by law and neglected a duty imposed by law in the driving of said vehicle, which act and neglect proximately caused ANITA ROSE B. bodils injusy to MICHELLE W.

It is faither affeged that in the commission of the above offense the said defendant, CURTIS HENRY RUSS, personally inflicted great bodily injury upon Michelle w., not an accomplice to the above offense, within the meaning of Smal Code sections 12022.7, subdivision (a), and 1192.7, subdivision (c), subsection (8).

I declare under pensity of perjury upon information and belief under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: Match 25, 2004

Case 3:08-cv-00679-DMS-WMC

(Doctinued): 1

Filed 04/14/2008

Page 20 of 29

T00,000.00

AGENCYM: TERO4056203/RSDM

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE (Southwest)

THE PROPER OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

DA# 167529

Plaintiff.

CASE NO. SWF007249

CURTER HÉNRY RUSS -DOBA 1:00/1975 AMENDED

FELONY.COMPLAINT

AKA: CURUS HENRI KUSS

Defendant.

COUNT 1

The first engued, under penalty of penjury upon information and belief, declares: That the above named defended CUR US HENRY RUSS committed a violation of Penal Code section 187, subdivision (a), a felouy, in that on of about February 25, 2004, in the County of Riverside, State of California, he did withfully, unlawfield, fact with makey aforethought murder ANITA ROSE B, a human being.

COUNT 2

The instance under penalty of perjury upon information and belief, declares. That the above named defendant of a mmitted a violation of Penal Code section 191.5(a), a felony, in that on or about February 25, 2004, in the County of Riverside, State of California, he did willfully and unlawfully kill a human being, to wit, ANFIA ROSE 3, without malice but with gross negligence, as a proximate result of the commission by said defendant of an unlawful act and a lawful act which might produce death in an unlawful manner while driving a vehicle in violation of Vehicle Code sections 23152 and 23153.

It is furthered eged that in the same instance of driving as set forth above, the defendant proximately caused bodily in high MICHELLE W., an additional victim, within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23558.

COUNT 3

That the above named defendant CURTIS HENRY RUSS committed a violation of Vehicle Code section 2312%. Addivision (a), a felony, in that on or about February 25, 2004, in the County of Riverside, State of California de did wilfully and unlawfully, while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage and a drug and under their condined influence, drive a vehicle and in so driving did an act forbidden by law and neglected a duty imposed by law in the driving of said vehicle, which act and neglect proximately caused ANITA ROSE B. bodily injury to MICHELLE W.

this further alleged that in the commission of the above offense the said defendant, CURTIS HENRY RUSS; personally inflered great bodily injury upon Michelle w., not an accomplice to the above offense, within the meaning of Equal Code sections 12022.7, subdivision (a), and 1192.7, subdivision (c), subsection (8).

I declare under penalty of parjury upon information and belief under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing induced and correct.

Dated: May §, 2001

VJZ:srg

Complanant

Exhibit C

Exhibit C

Page 22 of 29

DECLARATION OF PETITIONER IN SUPPORT OF PETITION

- I, Curtis Henry Russ, declare:
- 1. I am the petitioner/defendant in the above entitled action. The following facts are a true account of the events which led to my arrest.

On February 25, 2004, around 5:30 p.m. I was driving home on SR 79, when I dozed off and my truck hit two vehicles stopped at the Country Glen Way intersection. I had no memory of the collision or events immediately preceding the collision.

I had smoked some methamphetamine at work around ten in the morning. After lunch I smoked marijuana. I left work around 3:00 p.m. and visited a friend until 5:00 p.m., when I started the drive home. I believe that I fell asleep while driving, as the effects of the methamphetamine wore off.

I admitted my responsibility at the scene of the accident and from that day have felt remorse and grief for the pain I have caused the Barnes and Westgard families.

I was aware of my addiction disease and had sought treatment in 2003.

2. The complaint accused me of gross vehicular manslaughter for the death of Ms. Barnes, and driving under the influence causing great bodily injury to Ms. Westgard. The Deputy District Attorney offered me a sentence of ten years and eight months if I would plead guilty to the two charges.

I rejected this offer because I did not believe the circumstances of the accident, in light of my remorse and lack of any prior criminal history, PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS/POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

murder charge and that my best option was the deal. I do not believe that my attorney spent any time in advising me regarding my case.

- 8. I believe that the great bodily injury enhancement could have been questioned by my attorney, as I am informed and believe that Ms. Westgard did not suffer great bodily injury.
- 9. I was unfairly placed in a no win situation by the prosecutor's insistence from the start that I agree to plead guilty to two strike offenses, which would guarantee a life sentence if I were convicted of any felony in the future.

I was also unfairly intimidated by the prosecutor's decision to increase the charge to implied murder when I refused the first offer.

- 10. At all times, I admitted my responsibility for the death of Ms. Barnes and the injuries to Ms. Westgard. I believed that because of the nature of the offense, an unintentional act, when considered in light of mitigating factors, a sentence less than the aggravated maximum was justified. I am not filing this petition because I simply changed my mind. From the beginning of the bargaining process, I told my attorney I wanted to accept responsibility but that the proffered prison time was simply too harsh for the offense and my circumstances.
- 11. I believe that my attorney did not fight for me; that my attorney was simply interested in convincing me to plead guilty to end the matter for his convenience.
- 12. My will to resist pleading guilty was overcome by persistent pressure from my attorney, and from the unfair charges and the prosecutor's PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS/POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

unwillingness to consider any lesser offenses than strike offenses, or less time.

13. In order to avoid a longer prison sentence I was coerced to agree to a sentence not justified by the circumstances of the offense and offender.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: Upri 9,2008

Curtis Henry Russ

Exhibit D

Document 1

Filed 04/14/2008

Page 26 of 29



Charges



Home

Def. Status

Def. Info

Charges

Actions

Minutes

Probation

Case Report

Fine Info

Defendant 1 of 1

Case SWF007249 Defendant 1007442 RUSS, CURTIS HENRI

Arrest	Charges		٧		
	Charge	Severity	Description	Violation Date	Plea
1	PC 192(C)(3)	F	DUI:vehicular manslaughter without gross negligence	02/25/2004	
Filed (Charges				
Count	Charge	Severity	Description	Violation Date	Plea
1	PC 187(A)	F	MURDER	02/25/2004	
2	PC 191.5(A)	F .	DUI: gross vehicular manslaughter	02/25/2004	GUILTY
	Enhancement		Description		Plea
	VC 23558		Multiple Victims Injury/Death		DENY
3	VC 23153(A)	F	DUI Bodily Injury	02/25/2004	GUILTY
	Enhancement		Description		Plea
	PC 1192.7(c)(8)		Serious Felony/Used Firearm		DENY
	PC 12022.7(a)		Inflict Great Bodily Injury		DENY
Certifi	ed Charges				
Count	Charge	Severity	Description	Violation Date	Plea
1 .	PC 187(A)	F	MURDER	02/25/2004	
2	PC 191.5(A)	F	DUI: gross vehicular manslaughter	02/25/2004	GUILTY
	Enhancement		Description		Plea
	VC 23558		Multiple Victims Injury/Death		DENY
3	VC 23153(A)	F	DUI Bodily Injury	02/25/2004	GUILTY
	Enhancement		Description		Plea
	PC 1192.7(c)(8)		Serious Felony/Used Firearm		DENY
	PC 12022.7(a)		Inflict Great Bodily Injury		ADMIT



Minutes



Home

Def. Status

Def. Info

Charges

Actions

Minutes

Probation

Case Report

Fine Info

Defendant 1 of 1

Action: Sentencing - 09/01/2004

Case SWF007249 Defendant 1007442 RUSS, CURTIS HENRI

Action: Sentencing

Date: 09/01/2004

Time: 8:30 AM

Division: S201

Hearing Status: DISPOSED

HONORABLE JAMES T. WARREN PRESIDING.

CLERK: N. AGUIRRE

COURT REPORTER: D. WAGNER

PEOPLE REPRESENTED BY DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY V. ZUCKER.

DEFENDANT REPRESENTED BY PVT - D. LOUGH.

DEFENDANT PRESENT.

AT 10:11. THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:

COUNSEL STIPULATE: WAIVE COURT REPORTER WHEN DVD IS PLAYED.

COURT HAS READ AND CONSIDERED THE PROBATION OFFICER'S REPORT.

COURT ORDERS PROBATION CTS REPORT FILED.

CHRIS BARNES - VICTIMS HUSBAND PRESENT IN COURT

AND MAKES STATEMENT

AUDREY DEVINE PRESENT - MAKES STATEMENT TO COURT

DIANE DILL PRESENT - MAKES STATEMENT TO COURT

EDIE WEISKOTTEN PRESENT - MAKES STATEMENT TO COURT

CAMMIE DUDEK PRESENT - MAKES STATEMENT TO COURT

DEFENDANT CURTIS RUSS ADDRESSES COURT AND MAKES

STATEMENT.

DEFENDANT WAIVES ARRAIGNMENT FOR PRONOUNCEMENT OF JUDGMENT.

DEFENDANT REQUESTS IMMEDIATE SENTENCE.

NO LEGAL CAUSE WHY SENTENCE SHOULD NOT NOW BE PRONOUNCED.

FOR THE CHARGE(S) 2 3.

PROBATION IS DENIED AND SENTENCE IS IMPOSED AS FOLLOWS: (SENT)

AS TO COUNT(S) 2, THE COURT IMPOSES THE UPPER TERM OF 10 YEAR 0 MONTHS.

PRINCIPAL COUNT DEEMED TO BE COUNT 2.

AS TO COUNT 3, THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS FOR A TOTAL OF 0 YEARS AND TO THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS AND THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS AND THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS AND THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS AND THE COURT IMPOSES 1/3 THE MID TERM OF 2 YEARS AND THE MID -MONTHS

COUNT 3/TO RUN CONSECUTIVE TO COUNT 2.

AS TOJENHANGEMENT G1 IN COUNT 3, THE COURT IMPOSES IMPEARS.

ENHANCEMENT G1 IN COUNT 3 TO RUN CONSECUTIVE TO SENTENCE IMPOSED IN COUNT 3.

SENTENCED TO STATE PRISON FOR A TOTAL TERM OF A SYEARS AND IS MONTHS.

Document 1 Filed 04/14/2008

Page 28 of 29

COUNSEL*STIPULATE: TO CREDIT TIME SERVED.

CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED OF 160 ACTUAL DAYS PLUS 24 DAYS PURSUANT TO 2933.1 PC FOR A TOTAL OF 184 DAYS.

REFERRED TO PROBATION DEPARTMENT FOR POST SENTENCE (TO BE FORWARDED TO DOC) REPORT. DEFENDANT WAIVES COMMENT TO PROBATION. DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IS ORDERED TO PROVIDE CRIME REPORT TO THE CLERKS OFFICE FORTHWITH.

COURT REPORTER IS ORDERED TO PREPARE A TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS.

PAY RESTITUTION FINE PURSUANT TO 1202.4(B) PC IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2600 00 (PRISON)

PURSUANT TO SECTION 2085.5 PC. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS IS AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT RESTITUTION OBLIGATIONS.

ADDITIONAL PAROLE REVOCATION FINE IMPOSED PURSUANT TO 1202.45 PC IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2600.00 SUSPENDED UNLESS PAROLE IS REVOKED. (PRISON)

COURT RESERVES JURISDICTION TO SET VICTIM RESTITUTION.

VICTIM RESTITUTION TO BE DETERMINED. FINANCIAL SERVICES TO FORWARD COURT'S FINDINGS TO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 2085.5 PC, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS IS AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT RESTITUTION OBLIGATIONS.

DEFENDANT ADVISED OF PAROLE RIGHTS.

DEFENDANT ADVISED OF APPEAL RIGHTS.

SHERIFF TO DELIVER DEFENDANT TO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AT SOUTHRECPT

COUNT(S) DISMISSED IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE. (1385 PC)

COURT ORDERS ENHANCEMENT(S) MU IN COUNT STIRIGKEN

COURT ORDERS ENHANCEMENT(S) BP IN COUNT 3 STIRICKEN

REMAINS REMANDED TO CUSTODY OF RIVERSIDE SHERIFF.

MINUTE ORDER OF COURT PROCEEDING

DEF

 \Box_6 \Box_6